

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,429	(03/30/2001	Sanjay K. Agrawal	CISCP539	9399	
26541	7590	08/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Cindy S. Kaplan P.O. BOX 2448				TANG, I	TANG, KAREN C	
SARATOGA, CA 95070		5070		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2151		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/823,429	AGRAWAL, SANJAY K.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Karen C. Tang	2151		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Karen C. Tang	2151						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
. Mathematical The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	•							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,6-9,11,14,15,18-20,22-25,27-29,</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	31 and 33-41.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
		LaDALADNAM D	7					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argued that "Aoki et al do not disclose calculating a burst parameter based on traffic data collected at a queue. Examiner respectfully traversed the argument(s). In the final office action filed on 6/20/06, it indicates on page 3 that Aoki does not expressly indicate calculating a burst rate based on traffic data collected at said queue, Applicant's argument are not within the claim language regarding with the limitation, therefore, Examiner interprets that Kumar, disclosed such limitation (refer to Col 5, lines 40-67) which the burst-rate is the token rate calculated at each session at the queue. Kumar disclosed the worse case delay (Col 7, Lines 45, the equations on the right hand side). Kumar demonstrates ways to find traffic information by first calculating burst rate traffic interval burst maximum size at peak rate, etc, see Fig 1 and 2 as an example. To be able to calculate the maximum polling intervval, the worse case delay must also be calculated (Col 7, Lines 45, the righ hand side equation.). Aoki,nor Kumar disclosed "collecting traffic data comprising arrival time and size of packets arrives at a queue of a router, but Shenoi disclosed that limitation. Shenoi disclosed by collecting the data that contains the time-stamps, which indicates time and cell size, is able to calculate the time delay in the network traffic.